1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California
2	JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3	CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Analyst
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004
5	Telephone: (415) 703-5579 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480
6	Attorneys for Complainant
7	BEFORE THE
8	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Statement of Issues Against: Case No. 1H 2006 470
11	JEFFREY LESTER BLACKWELL
12	1859 Mayfair Drive E. Fresno CA 93703 STATEMENT OF ISSUES
13	A multi-seat/D same a dent
14 15	Applicant/Respondent.
16	Complainant alleges:
17	PARTIES
18	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Statement of Issues solely in
19	her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,
20	Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	2. On or about August 7, 2006, the Respiratory Care Board of California,
22	Department of Consumer Affairs received an application for a respiratory care practitioner
23	license from Jeffrey Lester Blackwell (Respondent). On or about August 1, 2006, Jeffrey Lester
24	Blackwell certified under penalty of perjury to the truthfulness of all statements, answers, and
25	representations in the application. The Board denied the application on November 21, 2007. On
26	or about December 3, 2007, respondent requested a hearing.
27	///
28	

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Statement of Issues is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

- "(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction."
- "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500)."
- "(j) The commission of any fraudulent, dishonest, or corrupt act which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner."
 - "(p) A pattern of substandard care."

26 ///

27 /

28 ///

7. Section 3732 of the Code states:

- "(a) The board shall investigate an applicant for a license, before a license is issued, in order to determine whether or not the applicant has the qualifications required by this chapter.
- "(b) The board may deny an application, or may order the issuance of a license with terms and conditions, for any of the causes specified in this chapter for suspension or revocation of a license, including, but not limited to, those causes specified in Sections 3750, 3750.5, 3752.5, 3752.6, 3755, 3757, 3760, and 3761."

8. Section 3750.5 of the Code states:

"In addition to any other grounds specified in this chapter, the board may deny, suspend, or revoke the license of any applicant or license holder who has done any of the following:

- "(a) Obtained or possessed in violation of law, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administered to himself or herself, or furnished or administered to another, any controlled substances as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 7 (commencing with section 4210) of Chapter 9 of this code.
- "(b) Used any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 7 (commencing with section 4210) of Chapter 9 of this code."

9. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence,

irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

10. Section 3752.5 of the Code states:

"For purposes of Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475), and this chapter [the Respiratory Care Practice Act], a crime involving bodily injury or attempted bodily injury shall be considered a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner."

11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act."

COST RECOVERY

12. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

13. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:

"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees."

1	14. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:
2	"(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation
3	may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the
4	monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation. "
5	FIRST CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION
6	(Pattern of substandard care)
7	15. Respondent's application is subject to denial under code section
8	3750(p), [pattern of substandard care]. The circumstances are as follows:
9	16. From September 1, 2004 to May 4, 2006, respondent was employed
10	at Madera Community Hospital (MCH) as a phlebotomist. On or about January 9, 2006,
11	respondent collected a blood sample from a patient. Hospital policy required that the
12	phlebotomist confirm the patient's identity by checking the order to draw blood with the
13	armband worn by the patient. Respondent did not do this, and he drew blood from the
14	wrong patient. MCH issued a written warning to respondent, and he was suspended three
15	days. Respondent was notified that termination would result if a similar event occurred
16	again.
17	17. On or about May 3, 2006, respondent did not confirm the patient's
18	identity by checking the patient's armband with the order to draw blood, and he collected a
19	blood sample from the wrong patient. He was terminated from employment.
20	18. Therefore, respondent's application is subject to denial based on his
21	pattern of substandard care while employed as a phlebotomist.
22	SECOND CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION
23	(Convictions)
24	19. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 3750(d),
25	3752 and 3752.5 in that he has two substantially related convictions. The circumstances
26	are as follows:
27	///
28	///

2000 conviction: Penal Code section 245(a)(1), assault with a deadly weapon

- 20. On or about February 7, 2000, respondent suspected A., a male individual, of throwing paint on respondent's wife's car. Respondent also believed that A. had thrown paint on respondent's car in a prior incident, but respondent did not report A. to the police. Respondent went to A.'s workplace and began punching and kicking him. A. did not attempt to fight back. When A.'s co-workers tried to approach respondent, respondent threatened them with a metal baseball bat. The victim had several injuries to his face, and abrasions on his right elbow and knee.
- 21. On or about May 2, 2000, upon his plea of guilty, respondent was convicted of Penal Code section 245(a)(1), assault with a deadly weapon or force likely to produce great bodily injury, a misdemeanor. He was placed on one year probation and ordered to perform 114 hours of community service. On March 11, 2002, he submitted proof that he completed community service.

1993 conviction: Penal Code section 242, battery

- 22. On or about September 27, 1992, respondent and his wife separated. Respondent was removed from the apartment lease for about one month, and did not reside with his wife. Respondent telephoned his wife to try to reconcile, and learned that she had a male visitor in the apartment. He drove to the apartment, pushed past his wife, and began punching and kicking the male visitor. Respondent's wife called the police, and respondent left the apartment.
- 23. On or about January 11, 1993, respondent was convicted upon his plea of nolo contendere to violating Penal Code section 242, battery. Judgment was suspended for three years, and a conditional sentence was ordered for three years.
- 24. Therefore, respondent's license application is subject to denial based on convictions for violating Penal Code sections 245(a)(1) and 242, which are substantially related to the practice of respiratory care.

28 ///

///

THIRD CAUSE FOR DENIAL OF APPLICATION

(Corrupt Act; Drug Use)

28 ///

25. Respondent's application is subject to denial under sections 3750(j), 3750.5(a) and 3750.5(b) in that he was discharged from the United States Air Force for possession and use of marijuana. The circumstances are as follows:

26. On or about April 22, 1986, respondent enlisted in the United States Air Force Reserve for a four year term. He was discharged April 28, 1988 due to

misconduct for drug abuse. The details are as follows:

27. On or about September 11, 1988, respondent was arrested on Kelly Air Force Base for disorderly conduct and traffic violations including lack of insurance. This incident led to a command-directed urinalysis test. On or about September 11, 1988, respondent tested positive for marijuana and admitted he had used marijuana.

- 28. On or about November 14, 1988, respondent was discharged from the United States Air Force with a general characterization without probation and rehabilitation.
- 29. Therefore, respondent's application for licensure is subject to denial based on his discharge from the United States Air Force due to use of marijuana, in violation of code sections 3750(j) [corrupt act], 3750.5(a) [possession] and 3750.5(b) [use] of a controlled substance, marijuana.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:

- Denying the application of Jeffrey Lester Blackwell for a respiratory care practitioner license;
- 2. Directing Jeffrey Lester Blackwell to pay the Respiratory Care Board of California the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;

1	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and
2	proper.
3	DATED: <u>January 15, 2008</u>
4	
5	
6	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for: STEPHANIE NUNEZ
7	Executive Officer Respiratory Care Board of California
8	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
9	Complainant
10	SF2007403387
11	blackwell_j_soi.wpd
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	